



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,081	10/10/2001	William D. Swart	SEDN/12163	5256

56015 7590 11/17/2008  
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/  
SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC  
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE  
SUITE 100  
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702

EXAMINER
----------

SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2421

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/973,081	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SWART ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DOMINIC D. SALTARELLI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2421	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 11-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed September 9, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the combination of Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, Farry, and Hoarty fail to render obvious applicant's invention because Campanella, Farry and Hoarty cannot be meaningfully combined, citing the different types of multiplexing schemes and frequency bands used by Campanella, Farry, and Hoarty to highlight incompatible differences between each reference.

In response, applicant's arguments would only be relevant to the instant application if the Campanella, Farry, or Hoarty references were actually being modified in view of each other. However, they are not. MPEP 2143.01 states "If proposed modification would render the prior art invention being modified unsatisfactory for its intended purpose, then there is no suggestion or motivation to make the proposed modification. In re Gordon, 733 F.2d 900, 221 USPQ 1125 (Fed. Cir.1984)". In this case, the only prior art invention being modified is U.S. Patent No. 5,600,573 to Hendricks et al. As such, applicant's arguments have no bearing on the obviousness of the proposed combination.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

Art Unit: 2421

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al. (5,600,573, of record) [Hendricks] in view of Kenner et al. (5,956,716, of record) [Kenner], Campanella (5,864,546, of record), Farry et al. (5,608,447, of record) [Farry], and Hoarty (5,485,197).

Regarding claim 11, Hendricks discloses a method for acquiring and delivering content, comprising:

receiving a content download request from a user terminal (video on demand requests, col. 13, lines 34-40; col. 13 line 66 – col. 14 line 14; and col. 19, lines 46-54);

forwarding the requested content toward the user terminal (col. 6, lines 15-43), and

logging the delivery in a server database (col. 20, lines 50-64).

Hendricks fails to disclose first receiving a search request, providing a plurality of content associated with said content search request to a user via a numeric television channel selectable by a user, the content download request is one of said plurality of content found in response to said content search request, determining if the request is a local download request or a remote download request and if the request is a remote download request, determining if the

content is to be delivered directly or indirectly, wherein directly delivering content comprises providing the content to the user terminal without traversing any modules between a remote content server and the user terminal, thereby bypassing an aggregator, and if the content is to be delivered directly, establishing a communications link from a remote content server to the user terminal, thereby by bypassing an aggregator, forwarding the content via said television channel, and validating the delivery of the content to the user terminal.

In an analogous art, Kenner teaches a method for acquiring and delivering content comprising receiving a content download request from a user terminal (col. 8, lines 14-25), determining if the request is a local download request or a remote download request (a check is first performed to see if requested content is locally available, col. 9, lines 42-54) and if the request is a remote download request, determining if the content is to be delivered directly or indirectly (the system can establish both direct links and indirect links, col. 12, lines 42-55), and if the content is to be delivered directly, and establishing a communications link from a remote content server to the user terminal (via the DSI, col. 9, lines 31-41), for the benefit of providing fast access to a wide selection of content distributed across many networks (col. 6, lines 42-52).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Hendricks to include determining if the request is a local download request or a remote download request and if the request is a remote download request, determining if the content is to be delivered directly or

indirectly, and if the content is to be delivered directly, and establishing a communications link from a remote content server to the user terminal, as taught by Kenner, for the benefit of providing fast access to a wide selection of content distributed across many networks, eliminating the limitation of only making available locally stored content on demand.

Hendricks and Kenner fail to disclose first receiving a search request, providing a plurality of content associated with said content search request to a user via a numeric television channel selectable by a user, the content download request is one of said plurality of content found in response to said content search request, validating the delivery of the content to the user terminal, directly delivering content comprises providing the content to the user terminal without traversing any modules between a remote content server and the user terminal, thereby bypassing an aggregator, and forwarding the content via said television channel.

In an analogous art, Campanella discloses validating the delivery of content for the benefit of accurate billing for the delivery of said content (col. 17, lines 60-67).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Hendricks and Kenner to include validating the delivery of content, as taught by Campanella, for the benefit of accurate billing for the delivery of said content.

Hendricks, Kenner, and Campanella fail to disclose first receiving a search request, providing a plurality of content associated with said content search request to a user via a numeric television channel selectable by a user, the content download request is one of said plurality of content found in response to said content search request, directly delivering content comprises providing the content to the user terminal without traversing any modules between a remote content server and the user terminal, thereby bypassing an aggregator, and forwarding the content via said television channel.

In an analogous art, Farry discloses a video distribution network (col. 4, lines 10-28) wherein the establishment of a direct link between a requesting subscriber and an information source is through a permanent virtual circuit through a digital cross-connect switch (col. 7, lines 15-22, col. 7, lines 56-64, and col. 11, lines 15-41), providing the benefit of lowered routing delays (col. 2, lines 20-21).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Hendricks, Kenner, and Campanella to establish direct links in the manner disclosed by Farry (thereby bypassing the aggregator), for the benefit of lowering the routing delay in fulfilling a subscriber's request.

Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, and Farry fail to disclose first receiving a search request, providing a plurality of content associated with said content search request to a user via a numeric television channel selectable by a user,

Art Unit: 2421

and the content download request is one of said plurality of content found in response to said content search request, and forwarding the content via said channel.

In an analogous art, Hoarty teaches a method for delivering content wherein users send search requests upstream to locate content of interest by interacting with a search interface which returns search results (col. 19, lines 28-45) via a numeric channel selectable by a user (col. 7, lines 25-35 and col. 8, lines 40-49) over which the content is forwarded (col. 12, lines 15-28), providing the benefit of a search feature which allows users to locate content of interest without necessarily knowing the specific title or identifier of the content ahead of time.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, and Farry to include receiving a search request, providing a plurality of content associated with said content search request to a user via a numeric television channel selectable by a user, and the content download request is one of said plurality of content found in response to said content search request, and forwarding the content via said channel, as taught by Hoarty, providing the benefit of a search feature which allows users to locate content of interest without necessarily knowing the specific title or identifier of the content ahead of time.



4. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, Farry, and Hoarty as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Wilkins (5,446,919, of record).

Regarding claim 12, Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, Farry, and Hoarty disclose the method of claim 11, wherein if the request is a local download request (when content is stored locally in storage device 308, see Hendricks col. 9, lines 50-67 and col. 15 line 47 – col. 16 line 3), performing the steps of:

analyzing metadata related to the requested content, determining, based on the analyzed metadata, if the requested content is in a correct format for delivery to the user terminal, and reformatting the requested content as needed into a required format for delivery to the user terminal , and routing the requested content of the correct format to a content delivery server (where content is formatted as needed depending on who the content is being delivered to, see Hendricks, col. 14, lines 20-38 and col. 15 line 31 – col. 16 line 3, prior to being delivered to the cable headend 207 for distribution, see fig. 1);

analyzing a user profile associated with a user of the user terminal and the content metadata and based on the analyzed user profile and the content metadata applying a digital rights management scheme to the content delivery (see Hendricks, col. 18, lines 39-58 and col. 20 line 50 – col. 21 line 9); and incorporating advertisements into the requested content (Hendricks, col. 17, lines 49-67).

Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, Farry, and Hoarty fail to disclose the incorporating of advertisements into the requested content includes at least one advertisement targeted to a user of the user terminal.

In an analogous art, Wilkins teaches targeting advertisements to specific users (col. 8, lines 3-41 and col. 11, lines 19-38), for the benefit of improved advertising (col. 4 line 44 – col. 5 line 39).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, Farry, and Hoarty to include targeting advertisements to specific users, as taught by Wilkins, for the benefit of improved, more effective, advertising.

Regarding claim 13, Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, Farry, and Hoarty disclose the method of claim 11, wherein if the requested content is to be delivered indirectly (Kenner, col. 12, lines 42-55), performing the steps of:

acquiring the requested content via a content acquisition server located in the aggregator (local SRU through which content is routed to a user terminal, Kenner, col. 11, lines 45-51);

if the requested content should be stored at the aggregator local storage (Kenner, col. 9, lines 55-67), performing the steps of:

determining a format of the requested content, if the format of the requested content is not correct for storage, reformatting the requested content, storing the requested content (Hendricks, col. 11, lines 46-60), analyzing

metadata related to the requested content, determining, based on the analyzed metadata, if the requested content is in a correct format for delivery to the user terminal, and reformatting the requested content as needed into a required format for delivery to the user terminal, routing the requested content of the correct format to a content delivery server (where content is formatted as needed depending on who the content is being delivered to, see Hendricks, col. 14, lines 20-38 and col. 15 line 31 – col. 16 line 3, prior to being delivered to the cable headend 207 for distribution, see fig. 1); and

analyzing a user profile associated with a user of the user terminal and the content metadata and based on the analyzed user profile and the content metadata applying a digital rights management scheme to the content delivery (see Hendricks, col. 18, lines 39-58 and col. 20 line 50 – col. 21 line 9); and incorporating advertisements into the requested content (Hendricks, col. 17, lines 49-67).

Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, Farry, and Hoarty fail to disclose the incorporating of advertisements into the requested content includes at least one advertisement targeted to a user of the user terminal.

In an analogous art, Wilkins teaches targeting advertisements to specific users (col. 8, lines 3-41 and col. 11, lines 19-38), for the benefit of improved advertising (col. 4 line 44 – col. 5 line 39).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Hendricks, Kenner, Campanella, Farry,

and Hoarty to include targeting advertisements to specific users, as taught by Wilkins, for the benefit of improved, more effective advertising.

### ***Conclusion***

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DOMINIC D. SALTARELLI whose telephone number is (571)272-7302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2421

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dominic D Saltarelli/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2421